

National Chung Hsing University

External Review of Technology Reports Submitted for

Qualification as a Teacher

Number : _____

Academic unit : _____ Name : _____

Academic level : Professor Associate professor

Representative publication : _____

Comments: (If more space is needed, attach additional sheets or write on the back of this sheet.)

| Items and standards of grading | | | | Achievement shown in other publications |
|--------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Item | Novelty or creativity and theoretical basis | Content and method (including subject matter, data, essays, citations, and other things of methodology) | Contribution (including importance to the related field of study and value for application) | |
| Professor | 10% | 10% | 30% | 50% |
| Associate professor | 10% | 10% | 30% | 50% |
| Score | | | | |

| | | | |
|--|---|--|------------|
| Total | (Sum up the total points of the five items above) | | |
| Advantages | | Disadvantages | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> With originality or breakthrough <input type="checkbox"/> With practical value <input type="checkbox"/> Highly contributory to the field <input type="checkbox"/> Having integrity in the research <input type="checkbox"/> Good research potential and good method <input type="checkbox"/> Good research results <input type="checkbox"/> Long commitment to research <input type="checkbox"/> Good attitude in research <input type="checkbox"/> Good in technology transfer <input type="checkbox"/> Good for teaching practicum <input type="checkbox"/> Good for advancing technology in industry <input type="checkbox"/> Good output in advancing technology <input type="checkbox"/> other : | | <input type="checkbox"/> Without originality <input type="checkbox"/> Low in practical value <input type="checkbox"/> Not contributory to the field of research <input type="checkbox"/> Lacking integrity in the research <input type="checkbox"/> Improper in method <input type="checkbox"/> Without good result in research <input type="checkbox"/> Without long commitment to research <input type="checkbox"/> Without good attitude in research <input type="checkbox"/> Not good in technology transfer <input type="checkbox"/> Poor in advancing technology <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism or violation of academic ethics <small>(please give a note in the space for comments, if any).</small> <input type="checkbox"/> other : | |
| Reviewer's signature | | Date of review | yyyy mm dd |

Notes:

1. To qualify as a full professor, the applicant should have a continuous engagement in related research and technological practice, a unique or highly significant academic result, and a great contribution to his/her field of study.
2. To qualify as an associate professor, the applicant should have a continuous engagement in related research and technological practice, a good academic result, and a concrete contribution to his/her field of study.
3. The levels of grading are four : A (excellent): total points 90 or over 90
B (good) : total points 80 or over 80 but below 90
C (average): total points 75 or over 75 but below 80
D (below average): total points below 75