**國立中興大學教師以學術著作送審教師資格審查意見表**

1060620第34-3次校教評會修正

編號：　　＿＿＿

送審單位：　　　　　　　　　　　姓名：

擬送審等級：□教授　□副教授　□助理教授　□講師

代表著作名稱：

|  |
| --- |
| **審查意見：**（請具體明確，逐條敘述，審查意見表格不足時，請向下延伸評述。） |
| **代　表　著　作　評　分　項　目　及　標　準** | **參考著作及前一等級至本次申請等級間之學術研究成績** |
| **項目** | **研究主題** | **文字與結構** | **研究方法及參考資料** | **學術貢獻或應用價值** |
| 教授 | 10% | 5% | 20% | 25% | 40% |
| 副教授 | 10% | 10% | 25% | 20% | 35% |
| 助理教授 | 10% | 15% | 25% | 20% | 30% |
| 講師 | 10% | 20% | 35% | 15% | 20% |
| **得 分** |  |  |  |  |  |
| **總 分** | 　　　　**（請將上列五項評分加總）** |
| **優　　　　　點** | **缺　　　　　點** |
| □內容充實見解創新□研究方法恰當，推理嚴謹□所獲結論具學術或實用價值□觀點正確有學理依據□研究能力佳□取材豐富組織嚴謹□研究成果優良□其他： | □無特殊創見□學術或實用價值不高□析論欠深入□內容不完整□研究方法及理論基礎均弱* 論文寫作格式不符
* 研究成績差

□涉及抄襲或其他違反學術倫理情事（請於審查意見欄指出具體事實）□其他： |
| **審查人簽章** |  | **審畢日期** | 　　　年　　　月　　　日 |

附註：

1.教授：應為在該學術領域內有重要貢獻或創見之著作。

2.副教授：應為在該學術領域內有持續性並有具體貢獻之著作。

3.助理教授：應為具有博士學位論文相當水準以上之著作。

4.講師：應為具有碩士學位論文相當水準以上之著作。

5.總評等級區分四級：A級：總分 ≧90分，傑出 (Excellent)

　　　　　　　　　B級：80分≦ 總分 <90分，優良 (Good)

　　　　　　　　　C級：75分≦ 總分 <80分，普通 (Average)

　　　　　　　　　D級：總分 <75分，欠佳 (Below Average)

6.委員評分時，請斟酌審查意見與評分應相符。

**National Chung Hsing University**

英文版

**External Review of Academic Publications Submitted for Qualification as a Teacher**

Number：　　＿＿＿

Academic unit：　　　　　　　　　　　Name：

Academic level：□Professor　□Associate professor　□Assistant Professor □Instructor

Representative publication：

|  |
| --- |
| **Comments:** (If more space is needed, attach additional sheets or write on the back of this sheet.) |
| **Items and standards of grading** | **Achievement shown in other publications** |
| **Item** | **Research topic** | **Language and structure** | **Research method and references** | **Academic contribution and practical value** |
| Professor | 10% | 5% | 20% | 25% | 40% |
| Associate Professor | 10% | 10% | 25% | 20% | 35% |
| Assistant Professor | 10% | 15% | 25% | 20% | 30% |
| Instructor | 10% | 20% | 35% | 15% | 20% |
| **Score** |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Total** | 　　　　**（Sum up the total points of the five items above）** |
| **Advantages** | **Disadvantages** |
| □Complete content with creative visions□Good methodology□High academic contribution and practical value□Strong and well-grounded views□Great research competency□Sufficient materials/data and good organization□Great research results□other： | □Lack of creative visions□Low academic contribution and practical value□Lack of depth in analysis□Incomplete content□Improper method or weak in theoretical basis* Unacceptable format of writing
* Dissatisfactory research results

□Plagiarism or violation of academic ethics (please give a note in the space for comments, if any).□other： |
| **Reviewer’s signature** |  | **Date of Review**  | 　　yyyy mm dd |

Notes:

1. To qualify as a full professor, the applicant should, through his/her publications, show a highly significant or original contribution to his/her field of study.

2. To qualify as an associate professor, the applicant should, through his/her publications, show a continuous and concrete contribution to his/her field of study.

3. To qualify as an assistant professor, the applicant should, through his/her publications, show ability (more than) equal to writing a Ph.D. dissertation.

4. To qualify as an instructor, the applicant should, through his/her publications, show ability (more than) equal to writing a master thesis.

5. The levels of grading are four： A (excellent): total points 90 or over 90

B (good) : total points 80 or over 80 but below 90

C (average): total points 75 or over 75 but below 80

D (below average): total points below 75