技術報告送審英文版

**National Chung Hsing University**

**External Review of Technology Reports Submitted for Qualification as a Teacher**

Number：　　＿＿＿

Academic unit：　　　　　　　　　　　Name：

Academic level：□Professor　□Associate professor

Representative publication：

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Comments:** (If more space is needed, attach additional sheets or write on the back of this sheet.) | | | | | | | |
| **Items and standards of grading** | | | | | | | **Achievement shown in other publications** |
| **Item** | **Novelty or creativity and theoretical basis** | | **Content and method** (including subject matter, data, essays, citations, and other things of methodology) | | **Contribution** (including importance to the related field of study and value for application) | |
| Professor | 10% | | 10% | | 30% | | 50% |
| Associate professor | 10% | | 10% | | 30% | | 50% |
| **Score** |  | |  | |  | |  |
| **Total** | **（Sum up the total points of the five items above）** | | | | | | |
| **Advantages** | | | | **Disadvantages** | | | |
| □With originality or breakthrough  □With practical value  □Highly contributory to the field  □Having integrity in the research  □Good research potential and good method  □Good research results  □Long commitment to research  □Good attitude in research  □Good in technology transfer  □Good for teaching practicum  □Good for advancing technology in industry  □Good output in advancing technology  □other： | | | | □Without originality  □Low in practical value  □Not contributory to the field of research  □Lacking integrity in the research  □Improper in method  □Without good result in research  □Without long commitment to research  □Without good attitude in research  □Not good in technology transfer  □Poor in advancing technology  □Plagiarism or violation of academic ethics (please give a note in the space for comments, if any).  □other： | | | |
| **Reviewer’s signature** | |  | | **Date of review** | | yyyy mm dd | |

Notes:

1. To qualify as a full professor, the applicant should have a continuous engagement in related research and technological practice, a unique or highly significant academic result, and a great contribution to his/her field of study.

2. To qualify as an associate professor, the applicant should have a continuous engagement in related research and technological practice, a good academic result, and a concrete contribution to his/her filed of study.

3. The levels of grading are four：A (excellent): total points 90 or over 90

　　　　　　　　 B (good) : total points 80 or over 80 but below 90

　　　　　　　　 C (average): total points 75 or over 75 but below 80

　　　　　　　　　　　　　 D (below average): total points below 75