學術著作送審英文版

**National Chung Hsing University**

**External Review of Academic Publications Submitted for Qualification as a Teacher**

Number：　　＿＿＿

Academic unit：　　　　　　　　　　　Name：

Academic level：□Professor　□Associate professor　□Assistant Professor □Instructor

Representative publication：

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Comments:** (If more space is needed, attach additional sheets or write on the back of this sheet.) | | | | | | | | |
| **Items and standards of grading** | | | | | | | | **Achievement shown in other publications** |
| **Item** | **Research topic** | | **Language and structure** | **Research method and references** | | **Academic contribution and practical value** | |
| Professor | 10% | | 5% | 20% | | 25% | | 40% |
| Associate Professor | 10% | | 10% | 25% | | 20% | | 35% |
| Assistant Professor | 10% | | 15% | 25% | | 20% | | 30% |
| Instructor | 10% | | 20% | 35% | | 15% | | 20% |
| **Score** |  | |  |  | |  | |  |
| **Total** | **（Sum up the total points of the five items above）** | | | | | | | |
| **Advantages** | | | | | **Disadvantages** | | | |
| □Complete content with creative visions  □Good methodology  □High academic contribution and practical value  □Strong and well-grounded views  □Great research competency  □Sufficient materials/data and good organization  □Great research results  □other： | | | | | □Lack of creative visions  □Low academic contribution and practical value  □Lack of depth in analysis  □Incomplete content  □Improper method or weak in theoretical basis   * Unacceptable format of writing * Dissatisfactory research results   □Plagiarism or violation of academic ethics (please give a note in the space for comments, if any).  □other： | | | |
| **Reviewer’s signature** | |  | | | **Date of Review** | | yyyy mm dd | |

Notes:

1. To qualify as a full professor, the applicant should, through his/her publications, show a highly significant or original contribution to his/her field of study.

2. To qualify as an associate professor, the applicant should, through his/her publications, show a continuous and concrete contribution to his/her field of study.

3. To qualify as an assistant professor, the applicant should, through his/her publications, show ability (more than) equal to writing a Ph.D. dissertation.

4. To qualify as an instructor, the applicant should, through his/her publications, show ability (more than) equal to writing a master thesis.

5. The levels of grading are four： A (excellent): total points 90 or over 90

B (good) : total points 80 or over 80 but below 90

C (average): total points 75 or over 75 but below 80

D (below average): total points below 75